

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,981 11/13/2003		Geoffrey S. Mendelson	1264-US	6763	
24505 75	590 11/21/2006		EXAMINER		
DANIEL J SWIRSKY 55 REUVEN ST.			BROWN, MICHAEL J		
BEIT SHEMES	= :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ISRAEL			2116		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		· ·	Application No) .	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication			10/705,981		MENDELSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Michael J. Brov		2116				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD REPORTED BY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIGN SOLVEN BY A STATUTORY PERIOD REPORTED BY A STATUTORY PERIOD BY A S	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. statutory period will y will, by statute, co	TE OF THIS C 6(a). In no event, ho Il apply and will expir cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 19 Sei	ntember 2006			•			
'-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 September 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the	application.							
• -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requir	ement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner							
•	The drawing(s) filed on 13 November			ted or b)□ object	ed to by the Exar	miner.			
. • / 🖂	Applicant may not request that any obje								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin		_			CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	n for foreign p	oriority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been red	ceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been red	ceived in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies					l Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certified	copies not receive	d.				
					•				
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (_	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
-	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) <u>L</u> 6) [Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application				
. ape			٠/ ١						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kung et al.(US Patent 6,574,739).

As to claim 1, Kung discloses a method comprising adjusting the clock speed of a central processing unit (CPU)(CPU 10, see Fig. 1) as a function of the output of a performance monitor(CPU activity monitoring circuit 50, see Fig. 1) forming part of an operating system(BIOS 38, see Fig. 1) controlling the CPU.

As to claim 2, Kung discloses the method wherein the output comprises a measure of CPU utilization(see column 2, lines 47-51).

As to claim 3, Kung discloses the method wherein the adjusting comprises lowering the clock speed by a predefined amount when the CPU utilization goes below a given utilization percentage, down to a minimum CPU speed(see column 2, lines 54-57 and column 3, lines 25-38).

As to claim 4, Kung discloses the method wherein the adjusting comprises raising the clock speed by a predefined amount when the CPU utilization goes above a given utilization percentage, up to a maximum CPU speed(see column 2, lines 54-57 and column 3, lines 25-38).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,981

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 5, Kung discloses the method wherein the CPU is powered by a battery(power regulator 18, see Fig. 1).

As to claim 6, Kung discloses the method wherein the CPU forms part of a movable computing unit(computer system, see Fig. 1; and column 2, lines 37-38).

As to claim 7, Kung discloses the method wherein the CPU is powered by a non-battery power source(power regulator 18, see Fig. 1).

As to claim 8, Kung discloses the method wherein the CPU forms part of a household appliance(computer system, see Fig. 1; and column 2, lines 37-38).

As to claim 9, Kung discloses the power saving device comprising a CPU(CPU 10, see Fig. 1), a clock(clock 16, see Fig. 1) to provide timing indications to the CPU, a performance monitor(CPU activity monitoring circuit 50, see Fig. 1) forming part of an operating system controlling the CPU to generate measurements of the CPU's performance, and a rate setter(CPU activity adjusting program 40, see Fig. 1) to change the speed of the clock as a function of at least some of the output of the performance monitor.

As to claim 10, Kung discloses the device wherein the output comprises a measure of CPU utilization(see column 2, lines 47-51).

As to claim 11, Kung discloses the device wherein the rate setter lowers the clock speed by a predefined amount when the CPU utilization goes below a given utilization percentage, down to a minimum CPU speed(see column 2, lines 54-57 and column 3, lines 25-38).

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 12, Kung discloses the device wherein the rate setter raises the clock speed by a predefined amount when the CPU utilization goes above a given utilization percentage, up to a maximum CPU speed(see column 2, lines 54-57 and column 3, lines 25-38).

As to claim 13, Kung discloses the device also comprising a battery(power regulator 18, see Fig. 1) to power the CPU.

As to claim 14, Kung discloses the device wherein the device is a movable computing device(computer system, see Fig. 1; and column 2, lines 37-38).

As to claim 15, Kung discloses the device also comprising a plug to connect to a non-battery power source(power regulator 18, see Fig. 1).

As to claim 16, Kung discloses the device wherein the device is a household appliance(computer system, see Fig. 1; and column 2, lines 37-38).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/19/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Kung et al.'s monitor is not part of the operating system and that it is a hardware device electrically connected to the CPU. Thus meaning that it cannot be part of the operating system of the CPU. Examiner disagrees as Kung discloses that the method used to set the power saving modes of a computer involves accessing a power management program. This program may be accessed through a BIOS(Basic Input Output System) setup program, or through the operating system(see column 1, lines 32-36). Therefore, though the CPU activity monitoring

Art Unit: 2116

circuit 50(Fig. 1) is electronically connected to the CPU, it communicates with the operating system that is controlling the CPU.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-5932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00am to 5:30pm(EST).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIRS) system. Status information for the published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications are available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,981

Art Unit: 2116

Page 6

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Brown Art Unit 2116 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER